1	l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	TATES BANKRUPTCY COURT TRICT OF DELAWARE
2	DIS	IRICI OF DELAWARE
3	IN RE:	. Chapter 11 . Case No. 23-10831 (MFW)
4	LORDSTOWN MOTORS CORP., et al.,	. (Jointly Administered)
5		. Courtroom No. 4
6		824 Market StreetWilmington, Delaware 19801
7 8	Debtors.	. Tuesday, August 15, 2023
9	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY F. WALRATH	
10	_	TATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
11	<u>APPEARANCES</u> :	
12 13	For the Debtors:	Daniel DeFranceschi, Esquire RICHARDS, LAYTON & FINGER, P.A. One Rodney Square 920 North King Street
14		Wilmington, Delaware 19801
15		Jason Zakia, Esquire WHITE & CASE LLP
16		111 South Wacker Drive Suite 5100
17		Chicago, Illinois 60606
18	(
19	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED)	
20	Audio Operator:	Mandy Bartkowski, ECRO
21	Transcription Company:	Reliable The Nemours Building 1007 N. Orange Street, Suite 110
23		Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302)654-8080
24		Email: gmatthews@reliable-co.com
25	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.	

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	
2	For Foxconn:	Matthew Murphy, Esquire PAUL HASTINGS LLP
3		71 South Wacker Drive Suite 4500
4		Chicago, Illinois 60606
5	For the Committee:	Deborah Kovsky-Apap, Esquire TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP
6		4000 Town Center Suite 1800
7		Detroit, Michigan 48075
8		
9		
LO		
L1		
L2		
L3		
L 4		
L5		
L 6		
L7		
L8		
L 9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

(Proceedings commenced at 2:30 p.m.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is Judge Walrath.

We're here in the Lordstown case. We are here on a scheduling issue on the Foxconn motion to dismiss or convert. I'd like to hear a status report from the debtor. I think things have been happening.

MR. ZAKIA: Yes, Your Honor. This is Jason Zakia of White & Case on behalf of the debtors.

I think I know what Your Honor may have been eluding to, but just to make sure that I have that correct. As Your Honor is aware, the dispute that these debtors have had with Karma has been a big part of these cases and something that we spent a lot of the Court's time, unfortunately, maybe dealing with.

Last night, at about 11:57 p.m., I am happy to report we were able to finally reach an agreement with Karma, obviously, subject to Your Honor's approval which would resolve the lawsuit in California, would resolve Karma's damage claim against the debtors, and, importantly, would free the debtors to sell the technology, which is the subject of the dispute with Karma. Part of the deal Karma grants the debtors a, I may not get all the IP terms right, worldwide, global, perpetual, irrevocable license which is being provided in exchange for the settlement consideration.

So, we believe that this is an important milestone in the cases. Obviously, we are going to have a hearing. I saw Your Honor entered the order shortly before we started here today setting that for the 28th. We are very appreciative of that, in which Your Honor will have to consider the merits of the settlement, but if we are able to get that approved we believe that removes a very significant challenge that the debtors have been facing and sets us up for, hopefully, moving towards a speedy resolution of these cases and is a very important development.

We are happy to talk about anything else that Your Honor was curious about, but that is a very big development and very late breaking news.

THE COURT: I think that's the big news. So,

let's then turn to -- I did see it in the schedule for the

28th, so let's turn to the scheduling of the Foxconn motion.

This was, I think, a request by Foxconn to be heard on this.

So, I will let -- Mr. Murphy, are you here for Foxconn?

MR. MURPHY: I am, Your Honor. Good afternoon.

Matt Murphy on behalf of Foxconn.

We are asking that the -- well, let me back up.

We are -- we have been in discussions with the debtors about a briefing schedule on the motion to dismiss. And we have been working to figure that out consensually. We recently hit an impasse as it relates to scheduling that hearing. The debtors

or, Mr. Zakia, correct me if I'm wrong, I think you guys want an (indiscernible) on August 30th. Its our view that its heard after the bid deadline or maybe even after the selection of the winning bid by the debtors on August -- I'm sorry, on September the 12th.

The reason for that is, you know, the case has been is this sale process for real or not. It seemed to me that Your Honor had doubts prior to entering the order to approve the bid procedures and wanting to see the IOI's. I think for us, you know, the debtor, a large part of their story has been there's this sale process and they're trying to sell the assets as a going concern. That is, you know, the basis for their, I guess, valid bankruptcy purpose in this bankruptcy as opposed to just a tactical litigation advantage.

So, for us I think in the interest of the Court to have the benefit of that prudent pertinent information its, obviously, to our benefit. We are seeking discovery as it relates to the bid. I think to try to have a hearing without the benefit of that information just leaves open, you know, more speculation and the ability for the debtors to say, hey, you know, we're running a process, you know, anything can happen, give us more time.

You know, when you look at the development of the Karma settlement in particular, I think now we find ourselves in the position of we're going to have to supplement our

motion to dismiss to address the fact that the debtors aren't in financial distress. The settlement calls for a \$40 million payment. After settling with Karma the debtors are going to have, ballpark figures, Your Honor, \$97.6 million to settle approximately \$17.5 million in unsecured claims.

There is clear Third Circuit precedent with respect to a debtor that is not suffering from financial distress. In other words, absent the financial distress there is no reason for Chapter 11 and no bankruptcy purpose to support a finding of good faith. So, now we have a known universe of unsecured claims. Oddly enough, once the unsecured claims are paid in full the next \$30 million goes to my client, Foxconn, as a preferred shareholder. Eight percent of everything after that we own eight percent of the common.

So, we have, you know, a great deal of interest in, as I mentioned before, making sure this Chapter 11 process serves a purpose. I think Your Honor has probably picked up at this point that we have our doubts. You know, we paid close attention at the prior hearings and we tried to be judicious with our words which is something rare for me, I think, if you ask my colleagues. And, you know, the -- I heard the debtors champion buzzwords that we hear from case to case in real Chapter 11's which I just don't know that this is.

They talk about facing major distress, threatening

the financial situation of the company. Your Honor pointed out when they filed they had \$137 million in cash. Now we have the Karma settlement. Is there major financial distress.

Mr. Lauria talked about, you know, needed to file to avoid a race to the courthouse by creditors. I just don't see that here. There are no secured lenders exercising remedy. The various class action lawsuits were all stayed pending resolution of the Ohio securities class action. The Karma litigation, which had been pending for three years when they filed, so there was no race there, but it has now been settled.

Curious, the timing the settlement after failing to enjoin the Karma proceedings and estimate their claims. You know, maybe there was an effort to seek a tactical litigation advantage there as well. We talked about timing, timing is of the essence and the fee burn. The only reason there is a fee burn is because of the Chapter 11 and the filing. This talk of selling the assets as a going concern, I don't know how there is a going concern here. They sold 37 vehicles. They are done manufacturing. When you look at the schedules and statements there is \$151 million in total assets. \$137 million, \$138 million was cashed.

THE COURT: All right. I think that you can save that for another hearing. We're here on a scheduling conference. But I get that you want it before any sale

hearing.

MR. MURPHY: I do want it before a sale hearing, I just think it can't be before a bid deadline; otherwise, we are just going to suffer under the same argument which is, you know, we have a sale process, anything can happen. I don't think that is good for us and I am not going to speak for Your Honor clearly. I feel like you would want the benefit of that information as well.

THE COURT: But you do want it before the sale?

MR. MURPHY: I'm happy to have it before the sale
hearing, I'm happy to have it -- I mean, I think having it
before the sale hearing makes the most sense, Your Honor, yes.
I would like to have it before the sale hearing. I would like
to have it between when we get visibility into the bids and
maybe even a selection by the debtor of the winning bid, and
the sale hearing itself.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Kovsky-Apap.

MS. KOVSKY-APAP: Ms. Kovsky is fine, Your Honor. Thank you. Deb Kovsky, Troutman Pepper, on behalf of the committee.

I just wanted to say a few words since the committee had not weighed in on the scheduling dispute. In fact, we didn't even really know that it was happening until it hit the docket since we have not been brought into the loop on this. And I know Your Honor said that this has about

Karma, this is not about the merits of the motion to dismiss, but I think the committee's views may be informative in terms of timing.

So, the Karma settlement not being before the Court it does play a very big role in how the committee is thinking about these cases and Foxconn's motion to dismiss.

From our perspective Karma was clearly the driving reason that the debtors needed to file for bankruptcy. We think that was probably the right move for them, but now it looks like Karma is resolved. And assuming that Your Honor approves the settlement agreement the Karma claim gets liquidated at \$40 million. The rest of the unsecured creditors are probably going to be south of \$20 million. The debtors should have sufficient cash on hand to pay both.

Now the committee does have very serious concerns about one aspect of the settlement agreement with Karma which is that Karma gets paid immediately ahead of all unsecured creditors. That gives us some heartburn, the rest of the unsecured creditors have to wait for a plan process. The debtors continue to burn cash.

One option the committee would consider supporting would be a structured dismissal of these cases once the Karma settlement agreement is approved. That would enable payments to unsecured creditors a lot faster, it would cut off a lot of the administrative cash burn. Since there are no secured

creditors it's not clear why the debtors couldn't simply pursue their sale process outside of bankruptcy. They can certainly litigate with Foxconn outside of bankruptcy.

That said, the committee understands the debtors have a draft plan of reorganization that they prepared. We haven't seen it yet. We haven't bene brought into those discussions. We (indiscernible) anticipated timing. So, there could be something here that I'm just missing altogether, but at this point the committee just doesn't have any basis to understand why these cases belong in bankruptcy once the Karma settlement agreement is approved or why that is in the best interest of unsecured creditors.

Again, at the end of the day our goal is to get the up to cure creditors paid in full as soon as possible with a minimum of administrative expense. So, in terms of timing our perspective is we think, really, the sooner the better and hopefully on a negotiated basis where the parties can come together and figure out a way to quickly exit these cases with everybody getting paid.

So, from the committee's perspective we don't see the need to wait until the bid deadline or the sale hearing. We think this should go forward expeditiously.

THE COURT: Mr. Zakia, your turn.

MR. ZAKIA: Thank you, Your Honor. We will, resist the urge to pre-argue the merits of the various

motions. I will note, in response to one thing that Mr.

Murphy said, that no good deed goes unpunished. I am willing
to plead guilty to the fact that the reason we struck the

Karma deal is we do think it is in the best interest and

maximizes the chances of us maximizing the recoveries for

stakeholders. That is why we struck that deal and that is why

we're going to ask Your Honor to approve that deal. That is

one of our goals in Chapter 11.

As a good advocate will, Mr. Murphy is going to say, okay, now we have solved the problem, my case should be dismissed. We will save that for another day.

Your Honor, with regard to the scheduling issue that brings us before the Court, and I'm going to focus on that, we will have plenty of time to talk about the merits, Mr. Murphy phrased the dispute between us quite correctly. We had been working towards a consensual schedule that would have had the motion resolved in August. When the bid deadline got pushed out at the bid procedures hearing Foxconn, for the reasons Mr. Murphy just told Your Honor, changed its position and stated their belief that we should not resolve the motion to dismiss until after the bids are received.

We just fundamentally disagree with that premise, Your Honor. There is no magic to the 30th. We suggested August 30th just because it was an omnibus date that was available, but really this dispute is we believe, Foxconn

filed this motion July 20th. We believe that participants in the sale process, including those considering making bids on September 8th, should have clarity as to whether these Chapter 11 cases are going forward or not. We believe that, and Mr. Murphy can disagree, that there is no basis to dismiss or convert these cases and that is how Your Honor will rule. Obviously, the decision will be up to you.

We think it's in the best interest of everybody to have that answer before the bid deadline, not after. We do not think it is appropriate for Karma to file their motion which, again, has been pending for about a month and leave it hanging in limbo without a hearing date and without an opportunity to be resolved, hanging as a specter, over the sale process.

So, quite the opposite of Karma's view that we can't have this resolved until after the bids are received.

We believe it's important to avoid a self-fulfilling prophecy.

We believe that it is important that the Court resolve this issue prior to that bid deadline which, again, is September 8th and that is the reason why we're here, Your Honor. We would like Your Honor to set it for a date that works for your schedule prior to the bid deadline on September 8th. We believe that is the best way to have it resolved on its merits sufficiently, quickly, and to bring certainty to all the participants as to whether these cases will or will not

continue as they are asked to submit bids in early September.

THE COURT: Thank you. Any response? Mr. Murphy, I think you're still muted.

MR. MURPHY: I double mute myself just to be sure, so sometimes I forget to undouble mute.

So, Ms. Kovsky said it best, Your Honor, which is these assets could have been sold and should have been sold outside of bankruptcy. They don't need to be sold in bankruptcy nor should they have been sold in bankruptcy. The debtors filed the bankruptcy and now they're saying, hey, don't hear the -- hair the motion to dismiss as quickly as possible so that I can say you can't possibly dismiss these cases at this point because I'm running this robust sale process and it will upset the apple cart as a way to defeat the motion to dismiss and keep them in an improper forum to begin with.

If you are a buyer interested in these assets, and let's think about what they are, right, it's a discreet set of assets, you don't have secured creditors to take care of, you're not talking about real property, you're not talking about environmental issues, right, a discreet set of assets.

By the way, if we're going to have it heard beforehand, I want to know exactly who those bidders are because my guess is most of the bidders are pretty familiar with bidding on assets and 363 sale processes, and they don't need to be in bankruptcy to

buy that. If these cases are dismissed, they will still be interested. If they stay in bankruptcy they will still be interested.

The determination on the motion to dismiss, if we have it heard before the bid deadline hugely prejudicial to us. If we have it heard after the bid deadline, I would argue not prejudicial to anybody.

MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, can I make one point? I'm sorry. I really did resist, I didn't argue the merits nearly as much as Mr. Murphy did, but when he says there's no reason to have a sale in bankruptcy we will wait for the hearing, but the evidence of that hearing is going to show that when Foxconn wanted to buy the company they wanted to buy it in 363 in bankruptcy. There can be reasons and advantages to doing a sale process in bankruptcy. We will save the rest for the hearing whenever Your Honor deems to send it.

Thank you.

MR. MURPHY: That was pursuant to your request, Mr. Zakia.

MR. ZAKIA: It will be a fun hearing whenever Your Honor decides it should be.

THE COURT: Remind me again how many witnesses you all intend to have at this hearing?

MR. ZAKIA: I don't know that that has been set,
Your Honor. My estimate would be one or two, but that is not

something that's been agreed. Mr. Murphy may have a different view.

MR. MURPHY: Well my view has certainly changed now that we need supplemental briefing as it relates to the financial distress issues. So, we were at two to three, and now it may be more as we consider -- I mean, we read the pleading this morning just as you did, Your Honor. Now we are factoring that in.

THE COURT: Well this is a tough one, but I am going to go with the debtor on this. To the extent I decide the debtor doesn't belong in bankruptcy we ought to know sooner rather then later. To the extent that the debtor belongs in bankruptcy I think a decision sooner and particularly before the bids are heard would be helpful to any prospective bidder who may be trying to evaluate whether to bid or not.

Just as the debtor resolved the Karma issue, a resolution of these kinds of disputes before the bids are due or a sale hearing would be helpful. Quite frankly, other then the Karma settlement that I just scheduled for the 28th, I do have August 28th clear. I note that Lordstown has an omnibus on the 30th, but I have other hearings on that day. I could not fit this in that day.

So, why don't I schedule this for August 28th at 10:30 with the understanding that I will hear the proposed

settlement first on that day and then we can get into the motion to convert or dismiss.

I don't think, Mr. Murphy, that you would object to a resolution of that issue if you are successful and the case is dismissed.

MR. MURPHY: I have no issue with resolving the Karma issue, Your Honor. I actually think its better for Foxconn.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

MR. MURPHY: I have not had a chance to talk to Mr. Zakia about this, and so we will -- our current answer deadline on the complaint we agreed, for the time being, for it to be September the 1st. I don't want to put Mr. Zakia on the spot. Given that the motion to dismiss is being heard on the 28th I don't know how long it will take Your Honor to rule. We would like additional time to answer the complaint if that works.

MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, I would suggest let me talk to my client and then Mr. Murphy offline. I am not in a position to respond to that request live on the air. I will get back to him promptly and if necessary we can take it back to Your Honor if there is no agreement.

THE court: I strongly encourage an agreement on that point.

MR. ZAKIA: Understood, Your Honor. Your strong

encouragement is something we listen to very carefully. 1 THE COURT: I understand. 2 MR. ZAKIA: Is the 21st -- would we have an 3 4 objection deadline the 21st if the hearing is going to go 5 forward on the 28th? THE COURT: Yes. 6 7 MR. ZAKIA: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Anything else? All right. We stand 8 9 adjourned. 10 (Proceedings concluded at 2:52 p.m.) 11 12 13 14 CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct 15 transcript from the electronic sound recording of the 16 17 proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my 18 knowledge and ability. 19 20 /s/ Mary Zajaczkowski August 15, 2023 Mary Zajaczkowski, CET-531 21 22 Certified Court Transcriptionist 23 For Reliable 24 25